



**Core Strategy Partial Review**

**Preferred Options - July 2019**

**Representation Form – Part B**

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

*(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)*

**1. Please state which document you wish to comment on:-**

Core Strategy PR Preferred Options	<input type="checkbox" value="Y"/>	Sustainability Appraisal	<input type="checkbox"/>
Habitats Regulations Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Equality Impact Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health Impact Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Evidence Base <i>(please state which document below)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="text"/>			

**2. Please state which part of the document your comments relates to:-**

Policy	<input type="text" value="HO8"/>	Page Number	<input type="text" value="163"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text" value="Q32"/>
--------	----------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------------	-----------	----------------------------------

**3. Do you wish to:-**

Support	<input type="checkbox" value="Yes"/>	Object	<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment	<input type="checkbox" value="Yes"/>
---------	--------------------------------------	--------	--------------------------	---------	--------------------------------------

**4. Please provide your comments and/or any suggested changes in the box below.**

**If you would support an alternative to the preferred option, please provide further details and evidence to support this.**

**(Please note:** Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the consultation document).

*The Society generally agrees with this Policy. However it has some reservations regarding the type of development and its location. Policy HO5 proposes a minimum housing density of 35 houses per hectare rising to as many as 50 on inner city sites. Whilst housing provision is increasingly required for older people the provision of bungalows as mentioned in Paragraph 5.40.3 (Page 160) will be difficult to achieve. 2 storey buildings with level access and appropriate lift connection between floors offer a more realistic option. This type of accommodation also needs to be sited close to amenities or have appropriate transport available. It therefore requires a high level of strategic planning. It cannot be left to developers to just build developments purely on the basis of maximum financial return without addressing genuine local need.*

*Please continue your comments on the next page.*



[Large empty rectangular box for signature and date]

<b>Signature:</b>	<input type="text"/>	<b>Date:</b>	<input type="text"/>
-------------------	----------------------	--------------	----------------------

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.**