



Core Strategy Partial Review

Preferred Options - July 2019

Representation Form – Part B

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

1. Please state which document you wish to comment on:-

Core Strategy PR Preferred Options	<input type="checkbox" value="Y"/>	Sustainability Appraisal	<input type="checkbox"/>
Habitats Regulations Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Equality Impact Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health Impact Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Evidence Base <i>(please state which document below)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="text"/>			

2. Please state which part of the document your comments relates to:-

Policy	<input type="text" value="HO1"/>	Page Number	<input type="text" value="133"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text" value="Q26"/>
--------	----------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------------	-----------	----------------------------------

3. Do you wish to:-

Support	<input type="checkbox" value="Yes"/>	Object	<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment	<input type="checkbox" value="Yes"/>
---------	--------------------------------------	--------	--------------------------	---------	--------------------------------------

4. Please provide your comments and/or any suggested changes in the box below.

If you would support an alternative to the preferred option, please provide further details and evidence to support this.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the consultation document).

The Society argued in detail at the previous Public Examination of the Core Strategy for a more realistic approach to overall projected housing numbers. It therefore welcomes the application of the standard Government method of calculation and the resultant significant drop in overall numbers. Whilst acknowledging that Bradford is applying the minimum number of 1,703 houses per annum the Society is concerned that will turn out to be an over-estimate as suggested in paragraph 5.28.11 (page 30). The current uncertainties over Brexit and in the longer term HS2 and the Northern Power House mean that the latter two are highly unlikely to have any impact by 2037. Therefore Bradford may well have to downgrade its economic forecast and the resultant housing requirement at the first 5 year review.

Please continue your comments on the next page.



[Large empty rectangular box for representation details]

Signature:	<input type="text"/>	Date:	<input type="text"/>
-------------------	----------------------	--------------	----------------------

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.